

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

Ceh

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,530	01/03/2002	Leslie Gray Graf	4009-63	2054
23117 75	90 04/03/2006		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			LEE, CHI HO A	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		)R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
medicoro,	-		2616	
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/019,530	GRAF ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew Lee	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja</li> <li>2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This</li> <li>3) □ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the original transfer of the correction is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)		DREW C. LEE PATENT EXAMINER			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/019,530

Art Unit: 2616

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bjelland et al U.S. Patent Number 6,856,612.

Re Claims 1, 6, fig. 7 teaches a GW 770 coupled to GSM wireless circuit network (first network based on a first technology) and PSTN (a second network based on a second technology, See fig. 4) whereby the GW 770 enables TFO inband capability negotiation on the circuit switched leg with an negotiation clone over the IP leg to enable end-to-end transcoding (in order to establish the format for the passing) of the multimedia information (See col. 6, lines 25-66), wherein the band messages are carried in a channel of PSTN (circuit switched network).

Application/Control Number: 10/019,530

Art Unit: 2616

Re Claims 2, 3, 7, refer to Claim 1.

Re Claims 4, 8, refer to Claim 1, wherein the further teaches the destination GW 780 coupled to another GSM network (a third network based on first technology) for passing of the TFO message, wherein the GW 780 inherently includes an interface (interface means).

Re Claims 5, 11, refer to Claim 1, wherein the TFO message negotiates codec information (compressed audio stream).

Re Claim 9, refer to Claim 6, wherein GSM is an PLMN.

Re Claim 10, refer to Claim 6, wherein IP backbones supports plurality of service providers (a plurality of telecommunication networks).

Re Claim 12, refer to Claim 6, wherein the first network is a multimedia network.

Re Claim 13, refer to Claim 6, See fig. 4 teaches the GSM wireless network coupled to the PSTN 180 (the second network) that supports SS7 based network.

Re Claims 14, 22, 23, fig. 5 teaches H.323/SIP mobile 340 (a first node) in a GSM network 300 (a first multimedia network) and PC telephone 350 (a second node) in PSTN (a second multimedia network) wherein the networks are separated by an IP backbone 320 (telecommunication transit network) wherein the ISP POP includes GW router interface receives TFO inband capability negotiation message (a control message) from 300 and perform mapping of the message to the transmitted over the IP leg 320 to enable end-to-end transcoding (in order to establish the format for the passing) of the multimedia information (See col. 6, lines 25-66).

Re Claim 15, refer to Claim 14, the IP backbone supports SS7.

Art Unit: 2616

Re Claim 16, refer to Claim 14, wherein the IP backbone is not tied to underlying user plane transport.

Re Claims 17-19, refer to Claim 15, fig. 5 supports GSM TFO inband signaling. Re Claims 20, 21, refer to Claim 14.

Re Claims 24-27, refer to Claim 1, wherein the PSTN network supports PCM sampling of one or more bits in its traffic channel (bearer channel or channel).

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 1/26/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Re Claims 1, 6, 14, and 22, "in band message" is carried over a channel of an circuit switched network. Claims 1, 6, 14, and 22 does not limit the "in band message" to be carried over the IP network. The transfer of information between the two circuit networks via the IP network reads on "in band message be carried in a channel of an circuit switched network."

#### **Conclusion**

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/019,530

Art Unit: 2616

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-3130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 571-272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AL 3/28/06

> ANDREW C. LEE RRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER